# Case 2:21-cv-01855-WBS-AC Document 46 Filed 09/25/23 Page 1 of 4

1 2 3 4 5 6	V. JAMES DESIMONE (SBN: 119668) CARMEN D. SABATER (SBN: 303546) JENICA P. LEONARD (SBN: 245366) V. JAMES DESIMONE LAW 13160 Mindanao Way Ste. 280 Marina Del Rey, California 90292 Telephone (310) 693-5561 vjdesimone@gmail.com cds820@gmail.com jleonard@bohmlaw.com VJD000095@bohmlaw.com			
7	Attorneys for All Plaintiffs			
8 9 10 11	DANA A. SUNTAG, (SBN: 125127) JOSHUA J. STEVENS, (SBN: 238105) HERUM\CRABTREE\SUNTAG, LLP 3757 Pacific Avenue, Suite 222 Stockton, California 95207 Telephone: (209) 472-7700 dsuntag@herumcrabtree.com jstevens@herumcrabtree.com			
12	Attorneys for All Defendants			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
4    5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16	KAREN SUTHERLAND, et al.	Case No.: 2:21-cv-01855-WBS-A0		
17	Plaintiffs, vs.			
18	CITY OF STOCKTON, et al.	AMENDED STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY PRETRIAL		
19	Defendants.	SCHEDULING ORDER		
20	Dolongario.	[No hearing requested]		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	1			
-	'			



AMENDED STIPULATION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER AND ORDER

3

stipulate as follows:

# 4 5

## 6 7

### 8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

#### RECITALS

All Plaintiffs and all Defendants, all through their undersigned counsel of record,

- On October 4, 2021, Plaintiffs filed this lawsuit. Plaintiffs are suing under Α. 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 regarding the death of an individual.
- В. On July 12, 2022, the Court issued a "Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order" (the "Scheduling Order"), scheduling a jury trial to begin on June 4, 2024. (ECF No. 26.)
- C. Counsel have been diligently engaged in discovery, including both party discovery and third-party subpoenas. However, as discovery and investigation progress, it has become evident the dates in the Scheduling Order will not afford the parties sufficient time to conduct the necessary investigation and discovery and other pretrial matters. Further, because of the number of depositions counsel wishes to conduct based on the results of discovery and investigation to date, the discovery cutoff date of November 17, 2023, will not allow them sufficient time to complete all the discovery necessary to prepare for trial. Moreover, both counsel's schedules are impacted by other matters; among other things, Plaintiffs' counsel is scheduled to begin two back to back jury trials commencing October 24, 2023, and Defendants' counsel is in the process of preparing for and taking approximately nine depositions both in this case and another death case pending in this Court through the first week of October 2023. Further, Defendants' counsel anticipates an additional 11 depositions in the two matters, all in October. Plaintiffs' counsel has at least one additional deposition in this matter they wish to take, as well.
- D. Therefore, the parties respectfully request a modification of the Scheduling Order to allow counsel a reasonable extension of time to conduct necessary discovery and other pretrial matters.
- Ε. In addition, in meeting and conferring on the need to modify the Scheduling Order, counsel discussed the possibility of conducting a mediation. The

HERUM CRABTREE SUNTAG

requested modification would allow the parties to focus on mediation efforts, potentially facilitating settlement. The parties have met and conferred on extending the deadlines for discovery and expert disclosure and discovery and have agreed to a schedule which does not necessitate a continuance of the trial date.

- F. When an act must be done within a specified time, the Court may, for good cause, extend the time with or without motion if the court acts, or a request is made, before the original time expires. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A). With respect to an order setting forth the Court's pretrial schedule, "[t]he district court may modify the pretrial schedule 'if it cannot be reasonably met despite the diligence of the party seeking the amendment." *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992).
  - G. There have been no prior modifications of the Scheduling Order.

### **STIPULATION**

The parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, respectfully request the Court modify the Scheduling Order as follows:

Matter	<b>Current Date</b>	Proposed Date
Disclosure of Expert Witnesses	9/22/2023	10/23/2023
Disclosure of Rebuttal Expert Witnesses	10/20/2023	11/20/2023
Cutoff of All Discovery	11/17/2023	12/18/2023
Motion Filing Cutoff Date	1/16/2024	2/6/2024
Final Pretrial Conference	4/8/2024	No Change
Trial	6/4/2024	No Change

#### Case 2:21-cv-01855-WBS-AC Document 46 Filed 09/25/23 Page 4 of 4

1 Based on the foregoing, the parties respectfully request that the Court grant this 2 stipulation for a modification of the Scheduling Order. 3 Respectfully Submitted, 4 Dated: September 20, 2023 HERUM CRABTREE SUNTAG, LLP 5 By: /s/ Joshua J. Stevens 6 DANA A. SUNTAG JOSHUA J. STEVENS 7 Attorneys for all Defendants 8 V. JAMES DESIMONE LAW Dated September 20, 2023 9 By:\_\_\_ /s/ V. James DeSimone\_ 10 V. JAMES DESIMONE JENICA P. LEONARD 11 Attorneys for all Plaintiffs 12 **ORDER** 13 The Court, having considered the parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing, 14 rules as follows: 15 16

The relief the parties request is GRANTED, and the Scheduling Order is modified as follows:

Matter	Current Date	New Date
Disclosure of Expert Witnesses	9/22/2023	10/23/2023
Disclosure of Rebuttal Expert Witnesses	10/20/2023	11/20/2023
Cutoff of All Discovery	11/17/2023	12/18/2023
Motion Filing Cutoff Date	1/16/2024	2/6/2024
Final Pretrial Conference	4/8/2024	No Change
Trial	6/4/2024	No Change

#### IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 22, 2023

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

liam & Shibt

HERUM CRABTREE SUNTAG

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4